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Throughout all of time, mankind has searched for the truths of life. Where did we come from? Where are we going? Why do we exist and is there a God? Scientists have been looking for the answers to these questions since science began. The predominant theory regarding the existence of life has been one of evolution. Life evolved spontaneously through minute chemical reactions and the fittest mutations were those to survive. This theory was first introduced in 1859 by Charles Darwin (Darwin, 1859) and has since gained widespread acceptance. However the history of science has also been one of continuous change, as old theories are subsequently disproved by newer technology and advanced thinkers, new theories take their place. Recent advances in technology have had vast impacts on modern science, and the information mankind now has regarding the makeup of our Universe is far superior to the information available in Darwin’s day. Does modern scientific evidence refute Darwin’s theory of evolution, and validate the theory of creation? The purpose of this paper will be to examine what modern science is indicating in relation to the origin of life:

1. Scientific evidence that refutes Darwin’s theory of evolution.
2. Scientific evidence that validates the theory of creation.
3. Scientific evidence that validates both theories.
Scientific evidence that refutes

Darwin’s theory of evolution.

There is considerable modern evidence that refutes Darwin’s theory. With the advances of technology, life itself can now be viewed in radically different ways than was possible in Darwin’s era. Mankind can now see into the very hearts of cells, fundamental components to life that were once believed to be mere globs of protoplasm (Meyer, 1998, p. 520).

In an informative article, Michael Behe explains that the cell is far more complex than Darwin could have possibly imagined (Behe, 1998, p. 567). The cell, Behe explains, is irreducibly complex. The cell does not function without all its parts present, and these parts are also irreducibly complex. The cell is run by molecular machines. An example is the cilium, which looks like a strand of hair on the surface of some cells. The cilium beats back and forth moving liquid over the cell, operating like an oar. The whole machine requires numerous interacting parts to function in unison or it would not function. These parts all have to exist at the same time, and could not have been formed by gradual evolution.

In the book, *Origin of Species*, Darwin maintained that his theory was only possible through gradual modifications, and that all life originated from a single ancestor and evolved into more complex forms. However, knowing that his theory was in fact just a theory Darwin stated that “If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down.” (Behe, 1998, p. 566)
The fossil record is another example of modern scientific evidence questioning the theory of evolution (Wells & Nelson, 1998, p. 559). Scientists have examined all the fossils discovered thus far and have concluded that all the major animal groups appeared at approximately the same time, without any proof that they evolved from a common ancestor. The branching tree pattern of Darwin’s theory is not seen in the fossil record (Strobel, 2004, p. 42).

Since the adoption of the theory of evolution as truth, scientists have attempted to re-create life in their labs, all of which have been unsuccessful (Meyer, 1998, p. 520). If life spontaneously arose and evolved as a consequence of chemical reactions and spontaneous modifications, why can’t life be re-created?

Scientific research is indicating more and more profoundly that Darwin’s theory of evolution is deeply flawed. The technology at the time was simply not present to provide a thorough understanding of how truly complex life is. It is of great importance to remember that all theories are just theories, and not proof.

Scientific evidence that validates

the theory of creation.

New advances in science and technology have now allowed mankind to look at the Universe in much greater detail. Mankind can now see from the infinite reaches of Space to the finite reaches of the subatomic particle. To say that times have changed would be an understatement. The evidence of modern science is pointing more and more to an intelligence behind everything.

It is now widely accepted in the scientific community that the Universe had an origin and came out of nothingness. That event is known as the Big Bang, and proves that the Universe
began to exist (Strobel, 2004, p. 93). Albert Einstein’s theory of relativity and Edwin Hubble’s observations of the cosmos proved that the Universe was expanding and if the expansion was reversed, there would be a pinpoint origin where the Universe came into being. The laws of physics state that whatever begins to exist has a cause. The Universe had a beginning; therefore the Universe had a cause (Richard, 1998).

The Universe exists, there is no doubt of that, but what is astonishing is how precisely fine-tuned the Universe is, and needs to be, in order for life to be possible. “Over the past thirty years or so, scientists have discovered that just about everything about the basic structure of the Universe is balanced on a razor’s edge for life to exist. The coincidences are far too fantastic to attribute this to mere chance or to claim that it needs no explanation. The dials are set too precisely to have been a random accident” (Strobel, 2004, p. 131). The rate of expansion of the Universe and the force of gravity are so precise that if either were tipped in either direction by a mere fraction, life would not be possible.

On the molecular level of the Universe, the discovery of the information bearing properties of DNA also indicates the existence of an Intelligent Creator (Meyer, 1998). Meyer explains that DNA contains information in the form of a four character digital code, which is the most densely packed and detailed assembly of information in the Universe. The information is needed for a biological system to operate. Cells cannot maintain their function without the information that tells them what to do. Where did the information come from? Meyer concludes that intelligence is the only cause for the origin of information (p. 540).
The evidence for a Creation and intelligence behind the origin of the Universe is vast and increasing rapidly. With Mankind’s advancing technology, the whole of existence can be seen more clearly than was even imaginable in the past.

Scientific evidence that validates both theories.

With the discovery and advancement of kinesiology a whole new paradigm of scientific enquiry has opened up, the paradigm of consciousness itself. Kinesiology has proven that negative stimuli cause a test subject to go weak and positive stimuli cause one to go strong (Hawkins, 1995, p. 2). The stimuli, it was discovered, need not be something physical. A smile will make one test strong while the statement, “I hate you” will make one test weak. After years of research using what is now called Applied Kinesiology, David R Hawkins published his ground-breaking book *Power vs. Force* in which he summarized the implications of this new discovery:

The individual human mind is like a computer terminal connected to a giant database. The database is human consciousness itself, of which our own cognizance is merely an individual expression, but with its roots in the common consciousness of all mankind. This database is the realm of genius; because to be human is to participate in the database, everyone, by virtue of his birth, has access to genius. The unlimited information contained in the database has now been shown to be readily available to anyone in a few seconds, at any time and in any place. This is indeed an astonishing discovery, bearing the power to change lives, both individually and collectively, to a degree never yet anticipated. (Hawkins, 1995, p. 34)
Using kinesiology and our link to the field of consciousness it is possible to discern truth from falsehood regarding any subject throughout all of time. With this tool it was soon discovered that there is a scale to truth, some things are truer than others. This led to the creation of a map of consciousness that denotes levels of truth or lack of truth (Hawkins, 1995, pp. 67-69). The scale is from 1 to 1000, where 200 indicates the split between truth and falsehood. Anything above 200 is true. This method of research is known as consciousness calibration research.

The research proves that there is indeed a God, Supreme Intelligence, Universal Oneness, or whatever name, that all creation arises from and returns to. The level of truth of the greatest Avatars throughout history all calibrate at 1000, the highest level possible on Earth. These Avatars are Krishna, Buddha and Jesus Christ (Hawkins, 1995, pp. 272-273).

Consciousness calibration research validates both the theory of evolution and the theory of creation. The Darwinian Theory of Evolution calibrates at 450, the Theory of Intelligent Design calibrates at 480, and Divinity as the source of the Universe calibrates at infinity (Hawkins, 2005, pp. 134-135). “The actual process of evolution itself does not occur within or as a consequence of the physical domain, but instead is merely expressed there as a physicality. The evolutionary process occurs invisibly in the infinite field of consciousness itself. Each branch of the evolutionary tree springs forth full-blow” (p. 57). The argument can finally be laid to rest:

Evolution is thus descriptively the appearance of the unfolding of sequential observations to perception. Creation itself is a continuous, ongoing process with neither a beginning nor an end. With contemplation, it becomes stunningly apparent that evolution and creation are one and the same process. Its source is the infinite power of the unmanifest’s becoming manifest as potentiality, with its inherent invisible patterning emerging in the visible physical domain
as existence. Throughout the ages, this ultimate source has been universally intuited as well as subjectively experienced as Divinity, which alone has the power to transform the potential into the actual, the Unmanifest (i.e., the Godhead) into the manifest, and nonexistence into existence (e.g., Bohm’s enfolded and unfolded universes). (Hawkins, 2005, pp. 58-59)

The stunning discovery of the nature of the infinite field of consciousness and our relationship to it is momentous, the implications of which are still not fully understood. With the newfound discovery of the ability to discern truth from falsehood, Mankind is now in a position to embark on even more radical discoveries as we now have a roadmap to lead the way.

The recent advances in modern scientific technology and research have been grand, to say the least. The context of the paradigm in which Darwin supposed his theory of evolution is no longer the paradigm in which we live. In science, theories are ideas to be used as directions for new areas of research. The research is to prove whether the theory is valid or not. The theory of evolution was indeed plausible at the time. No one then, could have known the true complexity of a cell, or the information in DNA required for cells, and life to function. The complexity of the Universe and precision to which it is balanced is still difficult to comprehend. With the advent of consciousness calibration research the truth of all existence is now at our disposal. Though difficult to understand and hard to imagine, the truth is the truth whether one believes it or not. However when one does come to understand the full implications of what science is now proving, one realizes how glorious it is, for faith in God need no longer be blind.
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